

# Control Functionals for Monte Carlo Integration

Oates, Girolami, Chopin

Arthur Gretton's notes

April 7, 2016

## What the paper is about

- A method for reducing variance of Monte Carlo estimates of the mean of a function  $f(x)$  under  $x \sim \pi$ , where  $x \in \mathbb{R}^d$ .
- Standard mean estimate:

$$\hat{\mu}(f) := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n f(x_i)$$

converges to population expectation

$$\mu(f) := \int f(x)\pi(x)dx$$

with rate  $O_P(n^{-1/2})$ .

- Given a **spare training sample**, can we do better? **Yes**, if  $f$  is smooth,  $\pi$  satisfies certain conditions.

## Setting and main claim

- Split the data:  $\mathcal{D}_0 := \{x_i\}_{i=1}^m$ ,  $\mathcal{D}_1 := \{x_i\}_{i=m+1}^n$ . Ratio is  $m = O(n^\gamma)$ , optimal choice is  $\gamma = 1$
- Learn a modified function

$$f_{\mathcal{D}_0} := f(x) - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}(x) + \mu(\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}), \quad \mu(f_{\mathcal{D}_0}) = \mu(f).$$

where  $\mu(\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0})$  must be **analytically** computable.

- $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}(x) - \mu(\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0})$  is **control functional** (with **zero expectation** under  $\pi$ )
- Our estimate of  $\mu(f)$  is:

$$\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, f) := \frac{1}{n-m} \sum_{i=m+1}^n f_{\mathcal{D}_0}(x_i)$$

## Setting and main claim

Given we can learn  $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$  with error

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \left[ \sigma^2(f - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}) \right] = O(m^{-\delta}). \quad (1)$$

(need smoothness assumption on  $f$ ). Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_1} \left[ (\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, f) - \mu(f))^2 \right] = O(n^{-1-\delta}).$$

## Setting and main claim

Given we can learn  $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$  with error

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \left[ \sigma^2(f - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}) \right] = O(m^{-\delta}). \quad (1)$$

(need smoothness assumption on  $f$ ). Then

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_1} \left[ (\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, f) - \mu(f))^2 \right] = O(n^{-1-\delta}).$$

**Proof:** By construction,  $\mathbb{E}(\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, f)) = \mu(f)$  so

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_1} \left[ (\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, f) - \mu(f))^2 \right] = \frac{1}{n-m} \sigma^2(f - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}).$$

Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_1} \left[ |\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, f) - \mu(f)|^2 \right] = \frac{1}{n-m} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \left[ \sigma^2(f - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}) \right].$$

- Then use (1) and  $(n-m)^{-1} = O(n^{-1})$ .

# The Stein way

How to define a function class for  $\hat{f}_{D_o}$ ?

## The Stein way

How to define a function class for  $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_o}$ ?

Define:

$$u(x) := \nabla_x \log \pi(x) \quad \nabla_x := \left[ \partial/\partial x_1 \quad \dots \quad \partial/\partial x_d \right]^\top.$$

Given a **vector-valued function**  $\phi(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ , define

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(x) &:= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \phi_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^d \phi_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log \pi(x) \\ &= \nabla_x^\top \phi(x) + \phi(x)^\top u(x). \end{aligned}$$

Assume **boundary condition**: given  $n(x) \in \mathbb{R}^d$  normal to the boundary,

$$\oint_{\partial \mathcal{X}} \pi(x) \left[ \phi(x)^\top n(x) \right] dS(x) = 0$$

## The Stein way (cont'd)

Then

$$\int \psi(x)\pi(x)dx = 0,$$

exactly the property we want for  $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_o} - \mu(\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_o})$ .



## The Stein way (cont'd)

Then

$$\int \psi(x)\pi(x)dx = 0,$$

exactly the property we want for  $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0} - \mu(\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0})$ .

**Proof:** from prev. slide,

$$\psi(x) = \nabla_x^\top \phi(x) + \phi(x)^\top (\nabla_x \log \pi(x)).$$

Using divergence theorem in (b),

$$\int \psi(x)\pi(x)dx \stackrel{(a)}{=} \int \nabla_x^\top [\phi(x)\pi(x)] dx \stackrel{(b)}{=} \oint_{\partial\mathcal{X}} \pi(x) [\phi(x)^\top n(x)] dS(x) = 0$$

using in (a) that

$$\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log \pi(x) = \frac{1}{\pi(x)} \frac{\partial \pi(x)}{\partial x_i}.$$

## Stein-modified function class, kernel version

What is a good function class for entries of  $\phi(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ?

## Stein-modified function class, kernel version

What is a good function class for entries of  $\phi(x) : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^d$ ?

Consider  $\phi(x) \in \mathcal{H}^d$  with inner product

$$\langle \phi(x), \phi(x') \rangle_{\mathcal{H}^d} = \sum_{i=1}^d \langle \phi_i(x), \phi_i(x') \rangle.$$

Then  $\psi(x) \in \mathcal{H}_0$ , a new RKHS with kernel

$$k_0(x, x') = \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial k(x, x')}{\partial x_i \partial x'_i} + u_i(x) \frac{\partial k(x, x')}{\partial x'_i} + u_i(x') \frac{\partial k(x, x')}{\partial x_i} + u_i(x) u_i(x') k(x, x')$$

**Proof:** write as  $k(x, \cdot)$  as the feature map of  $\mathcal{H}$ , so

$$k(x, x') = \langle k(x, \cdot), k(x', \cdot) \rangle_{\mathcal{H}}.$$

## Stein-modified function class, kernel version (cont'd)

Then

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(x) &= \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \phi_i(x) + \sum_{i=1}^d \phi_i(x) \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log \pi(x) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d \left\langle \phi_i, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} k(x, \cdot) + k(x, \cdot) \underbrace{\frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} \log \pi(x)}_{u_i(x)} \right\rangle,\end{aligned}$$

Thus

$$k_0(x, x') = \sum_{i=1}^d \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_i} k(x, \cdot) + k(x, \cdot) u_i(x), \frac{\partial}{\partial x'_i} k(x', \cdot) + k(x', \cdot) u_i(x') \right\rangle_{\mathcal{H}}$$

Only need  $\pi$  up to normalizing constant to compute kernel.

## Stein-modified function class, kernel version (cont'd)

Under boundary conditions

$$\begin{aligned}0_d &= \oint_{\partial\mathcal{X}} k(x, x')\pi(x')n(x')dS(x') \\0 &= \oint_{\partial\mathcal{X}} \nabla_x k(x, x')^\top n(x')\pi(x')dS(x')\end{aligned}$$

we have

$$\int_{\mathcal{X}} k_0(x, x')\pi(x')dx' = 0.$$

Recall for all RHKS functions in  $\mathcal{H}_o$ ,

$$\psi(x) \in \overline{\left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \alpha_i k_0(x, x_i) : \ell \in \mathbb{N} \right\}}$$

so as required,  $\mu(\psi(x)) = 0$ .

## Regression problem for $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$

Define  $\mathcal{H}_+ := \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{H}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  are constant functions. I.e.  $f \in \mathcal{H}_+$  when  $f = \psi + c$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ .

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} := \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + \|c\|_{\mathcal{C}} = \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + |c|.$$

Then regression problem is

$$\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0} := \operatorname{argmin}_{g \in \mathcal{H}_+} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f(x_i) - g(x_i))^2 + \lambda \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_+}^2 \right\}$$

## Regression problem for $\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}$

Define  $\mathcal{H}_+ := \mathcal{C} \oplus \mathcal{H}_0$ , where  $\mathcal{C}$  are constant functions. I.e.  $f \in \mathcal{H}_+$  when  $f = \psi + c$  and  $\psi \in \mathcal{H}_0$ .

$$\|f\|_{\mathcal{H}} := \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + \|c\|_{\mathcal{C}} = \|\psi\|_{\mathcal{H}_0} + |c|.$$

Then regression problem is

$$\hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0} := \operatorname{argmin}_{g \in \mathcal{H}_+} \left\{ \frac{1}{m} \sum_{i=1}^m (f(x_i) - g(x_i))^2 + \lambda \|g\|_{\mathcal{H}_+}^2 \right\}$$

From Sun and Wu (2009),

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \left[ \sigma^2(f - \hat{f}_{\mathcal{D}_0}) \right] = O(m^{-1/6})$$

- if  $f \in \mathcal{H}_+$  (i.e. true  $f$  is smooth)
- $\sup_{x \in \mathcal{X}} k_+(x, x) < \infty$ ,  $\lambda = O(m^{-1/2})$

Thus

$$\mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_0} \mathbb{E}_{\mathcal{D}_1} \left[ |\hat{\mu}(\mathcal{D}_0, \mathcal{D}_1, f) - \mu(f)|^2 \right] = O(n^{-7/6}).$$

## An application: GP regression, marginalized hyperparameters

GP regression:

$$\hat{Y}^* := \mathbb{E}([Y^* | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, x^*]) = \int \underbrace{\mathbb{E}([Y^* | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, x^*, \theta])}_{f(\theta)} \pi(\theta) d\theta,$$

- Integral over  $\pi$  unavailable in closed form.
- Each evaluation of  $\mathbb{E}([Y^* | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, x^*, \theta])$  is expensive,

$$\mathbb{E}([Y^* | \mathbf{y}, \mathbf{x}, x^*, \theta]) = C_{*,N} (C_N + \sigma^2 I)^{-1} \mathbf{y},$$

$$(C_N)_{ij} = \mathfrak{K}(x_i, x_j) \text{ and } (C_{*,N})_i = k(x^*, x_i).$$



# An application: GP regression, marginalized hyperparameters

