

Dependent Wild Bootstrap for Degenerate U- and V-Statistics

A. Leucht, M. Neumann

Arthur Gretton's notes

March 28, 2014

Why it's interesting

The MMD is a distance between probabilities P and Q , based on i.i.d. samples from each.

What if we want to compute the distance when P and Q are **random processes**?

Applications:

- 1 Most real data (eg music, text),
- 2 Comparison of MCMC methods (convergence diagnostics, benchmarking of MCMC)

Why it's interesting

The MMD is a distance between probabilities P and Q , based on i.i.d. samples from each.

What if we want to compute the distance when P and Q are **random processes**?

Applications:

- 1 Most real data (eg music, text),
- 2 Comparison of MCMC methods (convergence diagnostics, benchmarking of MCMC)

The challenges:

- 1 What is the asymptotic distribution?
- 2 What is a good empirical construction (e.g. bootstrap) for the asymptotic distribution?

Assumptions on statistic

We want an estimate of the **asymptotic distribution** of an empirical quantity

$$V_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s,t=1}^n h(x_s, x_t)$$

(which is a V-statistic - very close to a U-statistic, easier to analyse). This statistic is:

- 1 **Degenerate:** $E_X h(x, X) = 0$.
- 2 Symmetric, **positive definite** (MMD is the sum of several of these, so more complicated)
- 3 Lipschitz continuous:

$$\sup_{x, x', y, x' \neq x} |h(x, y) - h(x', y)| / \|x - x'\|_1 = \text{Lip}(h) < \infty.$$

Assumptions on time series

The time series $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$ is:

- 1 Strictly stationary,
- 2 τ -dependent, with $\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{\tau(r)} < \infty$.

The formal definition of the second condition is complicated. But the property which makes the proofs work is

$$E \left\| X_r - \tilde{X}_r \right\|_1 < \tau(r),$$

where X_r is dependent on X_0 , whereas \tilde{X}_r is a copy of X_r independent of X_0 .

Asymptotics of V_n under the assumption

By a generalization of Mercer's theorem, we can write:

$$h(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(x) \phi_k(y),$$

where λ_k, ϕ_k are solutions of the eigenvalue equation

$$E_{P_0} [h(x, X_0) \phi(X_0)] = \lambda \phi(x),$$

and P_0 is the stationary distribution of $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

Asymptotics of V_n under the assumption

By a generalization of Mercer's theorem, we can write:

$$h(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k \phi_k(x) \phi_k(y),$$

where λ_k, ϕ_k are solutions of the eigenvalue equation

$$E_{P_0} [h(x, X_0) \phi(X_0)] = \lambda \phi(x),$$

and P_0 is the stationary distribution of $(X_t)_{t \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

$$\text{Asymptotic distribution : } V_n \xrightarrow{d} Z := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda_k Z_k^2,$$

where

$$\text{cov}(Z_j, Z_k) := \sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} \text{cov}(\phi_j(X_0), \phi_k(X_r)).$$

Asymptotics of V_n : proof idea (1)

First define a truncation of $h(x, y)$:

$$h^{(K)}(x, y) = \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \phi_k(x) \phi_k(y).$$

We can prove that as $K \rightarrow \infty$ the asymptotics of the truncation approach those of h .

The associated V -statistic is:

$$\begin{aligned} V_n^{(K)} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s,t=1}^n \underbrace{\left(\sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \phi_k(X_s) \phi_k(X_t) \right)}_{h^{(K)}(X_s, X_t)} \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \left(n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n \phi_k(X_t) \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

Asymptotics of V_n : proof idea (2)

Under the assumptions on x_t , we can apply a central limit theorem for weakly dependent random variables on the inner sum:

$$n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n [\phi_1(X_t) \quad \dots \quad \phi_K(X_t)] \xrightarrow{d} [Z_1 \quad \dots \quad Z_K]$$

Bootstrap estimate of the asymptotic distribution

Define a new time series W_t^* with the property

$$\text{cov}(W_s^*, W_t^*) = \rho(|s - t| / \ell_n),$$

where ℓ_n is a width parameter growing with n , and ρ is a window, e.g.

$$\text{cov}(W_s^*, W_t^*) = \exp(-|s - t| / \ell_n).$$

Make a stronger assumption on X_t : it is τ -dependent with

$$\sum_{r=1}^{\infty} r^2 \sqrt{\tau(r)} < \infty.$$

Then

$$V_n^* := \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s,t=1}^n h(X_s, X_t) W_s^* W_t^* \xrightarrow{d} Z \quad \text{in probability}$$

Meaning: as measured via Prokhorov metric d_p ,

$$d_p(V_n^*, Z) \xrightarrow{P} 0 \quad \text{as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

How the proof works (1)

Again define a finite approximation,

$$\begin{aligned} V_n^{(K)*} &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s,t=1}^n h^{(K)}(X_s, X_t) W_s^* W_t^* \\ &= \sum_{k=1}^K \lambda_k \left(n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n \phi_k(X_t) W_t^* \right)^2 \end{aligned}$$

which can be shown to converge as $K \rightarrow \infty$. Define

$$Y_t^* := \left[\phi_1(X_t) \quad \dots \quad \phi_K(X_t) \right] W_t^*$$

We need that in probability (as $n \rightarrow \infty$),

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{t=1}^n Y_t^* \xrightarrow{d} \mathcal{N}(0, \Sigma_K)$$

How the proof works (2)

$$\begin{aligned} & \text{cov} \left(n^{-1/2} \sum_{s=1}^n \phi_j(X_s) W_s^*, n^{-1/2} \sum_{t=1}^n \phi_k(X_t) W_t^* \right) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s,t=1}^n \phi_j(X_s) \phi_k(X_t) \rho(|s-t|/\ell_n) \\ &= \frac{1}{n} \sum_{s,t=1}^n \underbrace{(\phi_j(X_s) \phi_k(X_t) - E[\phi_j(X_s) \phi_k(X_t)])}_{\text{converges to 0}} \rho(|s-t|/\ell_n) \\ &+ \underbrace{\sum_{r=-\infty}^{\infty} E(\phi_j(X_0) \phi_k(X_r)) \rho(|r|/\ell_n) \max\{1 - |r|/n, 0\}}_{\text{converges to } (\Sigma_K)_{j,k}} \end{aligned}$$

Appendix: More detail on window assumptions

Requirements on ℓ_n :

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell_n = \infty \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \ell_n/n = 0$$

Requirements on ρ :

$$\sum_{r=1}^{n-1} \rho(r/\ell_n) = O(l_n).$$

This being the case, W_t^* are weakly τ -dependent.