Advanced Markov Chain Monte Carlo

Yee Whye Teh

Gatsby Computational Neuroscience Unit University College London

April 3, 2009

Set-up

- Models: *m* belong to a class of models \mathcal{M} .
- Data: $X = \{x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n\}.$
- Latent variables: $Y = \{y_1, y_2, \dots, y_n\}$.
- ▶ Parameters: θ_m for model $m \in \mathcal{M}$.
- Likelihood:





p(m) $p(\theta_m|m)$

Bayesian Inference

Posterior distribution:

 $\pi(\theta_m, Y|m) = p(\theta_m, Y|m, X) = \frac{p(\theta_m|m)p(Y|\theta_m)p(X|Y, \theta_m)}{p(X|m)}$ $\pi(m) = p(m|X)$

Evidence:

p(X|m)

Bayes Factors:

 $\frac{p(X|m)}{p(X|m')}$

Metropolis-Hastings

- Metropolis-Hastings is a standard and flexible Markov chain Monte Carlo transition kernel.
- Distribution we wish to sample from:

 $\pi(\theta)$

Proposal kernel:

 $q(heta
ightarrow ilde{ heta})$

Acceptance probability:

$$lpha(heta
ightarrow ilde{ heta}) = \min\left(1,rac{\pi(ilde{ heta})m{q}(ilde{ heta}
ightarrow heta)}{\pi(heta)m{q}(heta
ightarrow heta)}
ight)$$

Reversible-Jump Markov Chain Monte Carlo

► To do Bayesian model averaging, we are interested in computing

$\pi(m, \theta_m)$

where for different *m*'s θ_m 's come from different spaces with different dimensionalities.

- Most MCMC techniques can only deal with sampling from distributions over a single space, e.g. the posterior distribution over parameters of a *single* model.
- ► Reversible-Jump MCMC allows us to sample from the full posterior $\pi(m, \theta_m)$ over both models and parameters properly.

Simple Example

Imagine mixtures of either 1 or 2 Gaussians in 1D:

 $m = 1: \qquad \mathcal{N}(\theta_0, \sigma^2)$ $m = 2: \qquad .5\mathcal{N}(\theta_1, \sigma^2) + .5\mathcal{N}(\theta_2, \sigma^2)$

where σ^2 is known and parameters consist of the mean θ_0 for model 1 and the means (θ_1, θ_2) for model 2.

- Conditioned on *m* we can easily sample θ₀ or (θ₁, θ₂) depending on value of *m*.
- How do we sample m? The θ spaces are different for different m's, so we have to change the θ's if we propose changing m.

Simple Example

Say *m* = 1 and we propose changing *m* = 1 → *m* = 2. We would also need to propose (θ₁, θ₂) as well. Since this proposal is a "split" of one Gaussian into two, a reasonable proposal would be:

 $\delta \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v})$ $\theta_1 \leftarrow \theta_0 + \delta$ $\theta_2 \leftarrow \theta_0 - \delta$

This preserves the overall mean of the distribution over the data.

To compute the MH acceptance probability we need the reverse proposal probabilities for m = 2 → m = 1. Given a particular (θ₁, θ₂), notice that the only θ₀ that could have be used to propose (θ₁, θ₂) is their average:

$$\theta_0 = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2$$

Simple Example

Recap of the proposal and the reverse proposal:

$$\begin{split} m &= 1 \to m = 2 & m = 2 \to m = 1 \\ \theta_0 \to (\theta_1, \theta_2) &= (\theta_0 + \delta, \theta_0 - \delta) & (\theta_1, \theta_2) \to \theta_0 = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2 \\ \delta &\sim \mathcal{N}(0, \mathbf{v}) \end{split}$$

The acceptance probability is:

$$\min\left(1,\frac{\pi(m=2,\theta_0+\delta,\theta_0-\delta)1}{\pi(1,\theta_0)\mathcal{N}(\delta;\mathbf{0},\mathbf{v})}\right)$$

Notice that the dimensions match up.

Another Example

• If the $\theta_0 \rightarrow (\theta_1, \theta_2)$ proposal is simply:

 $egin{aligned} & heta_1 = heta_0 \ & heta_2 \sim \mathcal{N}(\mathbf{0}, \mathbf{v}) \end{aligned}$

i.e. the first Gaussian stays the same, create a second Gaussian.

▶ Then the reverse proposal $(\theta_1, \theta_2) \rightarrow \theta_0$ would have needed to be:

 $\theta_0 = \theta_1$

i.e. drop the second Gaussian and keep the first one.

General Case

- ▶ We want a proposal $q(m \rightarrow w)$ where $m, w \in M$.
- ▶ We need to design proposals for the parameters $q(\theta_m \rightarrow \theta_w)$ and reverse proposals $q(\theta_w \rightarrow \theta_m)$.
- The acceptance probability of RJMCMC,

$$\min\left(1, \frac{\pi(w)\pi(\theta_w|w)q(w \to m)q(\theta_w \to \theta_m)}{\pi(m)\pi(\theta_m|m)q(m \to w)q(\theta_m \to \theta_w)}\right)$$

only makes sense if the θ densities are both wrt the same underlying measure. Simple case: measure lies on a 2D plane in $(\theta_0, \theta_1, \theta_2)$ space satisfying $\theta_0 = (\theta_1 + \theta_2)/2$.

