# Dendrites and axons

## 1 Dendrites

Our goal is to write down an equation describing the membrane potential in a dendrite, and then solve it. The derivation is mainly physics and the solution is mainly math. The solution is important: it will give us intuition about how dendrites work; combined with some experimental facts, it explains the size of dendritic trees. We'll initially consider a dendrite to be an infinitely long cylinder. At the end we'll talk about more realistic geometries.

### 1.1 The cable equation

A short section of a dendrite is shown in Fig. 1 (see the figure caption for details). We're going to use only two equations: V = IR and Q = CV, the latter implying CdV/dt = I. Here V and I are voltage and current, and C and R are resistance and capacitance. We'll start with CdV/dt = I. Treating each section as equipotential, we have

$$C_m \frac{\partial V(x,t)}{\partial t} = I_L(x - dx/2, t) - I_L(x + dx/2, t) - I_m(x, t) + I_e(x, t)$$
(1)

where  $I_L$  is the longitudinal current,  $I_m$  is the current due to membrane channels,  $I_e$  is the injected current,  $C_m$  is the membrane capacitance and  $R_L$  is the longitudinal resistance. And now we use V = IR,

$$I(x - dx/2, t) = \frac{V(x - dx, t) - V(x)}{R_L}$$
(2)

where  $R_L$  is the longitudinal resistance; a similar equation applies to I(x+dx/2,t). Inserting Eq. (2) into (1), we have

$$C_m \frac{\partial V(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{V(x-dx,t) - V(x,t)}{R_L} - \frac{V(x,t) - V(x+dx,t)}{R_L} - I_m(x,t) + I_e(x,t).$$
(3)

Taylor expanding membrane potential to second order in dx gives us

$$C_m \frac{\partial V(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{dx^2}{R_L} \frac{\partial^2 V(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - I_m(x,t) + I_e(x,t).$$
(4)

That's the easy part. The hard(er) part is taking the limit  $dx \to 0$ . Basically, we have to figure out how  $C_m$  and  $R_L$  scale with dx. We'll start with  $R_L$ . It's a physics fact that resistance scales linearly with the length of a material and inversely with its area, with a scale factor that's an intrinsic property of the material. For the longitudinal resistance, we'll use  $r_L$  for that intrinsic property, giving us

$$R_L = r_L \frac{dx}{\pi a^2} \,. \tag{5}$$



Figure 1: A short section of a cylindrical dendrite with radius a. The vertical bars are spaced by dx, which we'll eventually take to zero, so the drawing is slightly misleading: the bars should be very close together (think stacks of pancakes), but then there would be no room to show the currents. There are three kinds of currents: longitudinal current,  $I_L$ , which flows along the dendrite; current due to membrane channels,  $I_m$  (which is outward by convention); and injected current,  $I_e$  (which is inward by convention).

Here dx is the length and  $\pi a^2$  is the area (remember the dendrite is cylindrical).

Capacitance also depends on area. To see how, we need a physics fact about membrane potential: inside the dendrite, at any particular value of x, the membrane potential is constant. That means V(x) is the change in potential across the membrane, and that change is caused by charge building up on the inside and outside of the membrane. It follows (with a little thinking) that for fixed membrane potential the total charge scales linearly with area, with a scale factor the depends on the membrane. We'll use  $c_m$  for that scale factor, giving us

$$C_m = c_m 2\pi a dx. \tag{6}$$

Inserting Eqs. (5) and (6) into Eq. (4), and performing a small amount of algebra, we arrive at

$$c_m \frac{\partial V(x,t)}{\partial t} = \frac{a}{2r_L} \frac{\partial^2 V(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - i_m(x,t) + i_e(x,t) \tag{7}$$

where  $i_m$  and  $i_e$  are current densities,

$$i_m(x,t) \equiv \frac{I_m(x,t)}{2\pi a dx} \tag{8a}$$

$$i_e(x,t) \equiv \frac{I_e(x,t)}{2\pi a dx}$$
 (8b)

Equation (7) is independent of dx, as desired.

For dendrites (but, as we'll see in the next section, not for axons), it's convenient to do one more thing. Equation (5) is a general expression that relates total resistance to geometry and intrinsic properties. For something with fixed thickness – like the membrane of a neuron or dendrite – we can think of  $r_L \times$  thickness as the intrinsic membrane property, which we'll called  $r_m$ . This gives us

$$R_m = \frac{r_m}{\text{Area}} \tag{9}$$

where  $R_m$  is the actual resistance. We're going to multiply both sides of Eq. (7) by  $r_m$ . This gives us a factor of  $r_m c_m$  on the left hand side. To interpret this factor, we note, as discussed above, that capacitance is proportional to area. And so we may write

$$R_m C_m = \left(\frac{r_m}{\text{Area}}\right) \left(c_m \text{Area}\right) = r_m c_m \tag{10}$$

where  $C_m$  is the total capacitance. In general,  $R_m C_m$  is the membrane time constant; thus, so is  $r_m c_m$ . If dendrites have similar properties to cell somas, which, it turns out, they do, then  $r_m c_m$  is on the order of 10 ms.

Multiplying both sides of Eq. (7) by  $r_m$ , and defining

$$\tau_m \equiv r_m c_m,\tag{11}$$

we have

$$\tau_m \frac{\partial V(x,t)}{\partial t} = \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 V(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - r_m i_m(x,t) + r_m i_e(x,t)$$
(12)

where  $\lambda$ , which is known as the electrotonic length, is given by

$$\lambda^2 \equiv \frac{ar_m}{2r_L} \,. \tag{13}$$

Equation (12) is the cable equation. In real dendrites, the current density,  $i_m$ , consists of both passive and active channels (the active channels are just like the ones we saw in the Hodgkin Huxley equations). Here, however, we'll consider only passive channels,

$$I_m = \frac{V - \mathcal{E}}{R_m} = \frac{2\pi a dx}{r_m} (V - \mathcal{E}); \tag{14}$$

comparing this to Eq. (8a), we see that

$$r_m i_m = V - \mathcal{E},\tag{15}$$

yielding

$$\tau_m \frac{\partial V(x,t)}{\partial t} = \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 V(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - (V(x,t) - \mathcal{E}) + r_m i_e(x,t).$$
(16)

This is the famous passive cable equation. We're going to solve it first in steady state (V(x,t) is independent of time); then we'll tackle the time-dependent case. In either case,

we can simplify our equations by working with voltage relative to  $\mathcal{E}$ ; we do that by defining a new variable,

$$u \equiv V - \mathcal{E},\tag{17}$$

giving us the equation

$$\tau_m \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - u(x,t) + r_m i_e(x,t).$$
(18)

#### 1.2 The response of a dendrite to steady injected current

To compute the membrane potential in steady state, we need to specify the current density,  $i_e(x,t)$ . We'll assume that the current is injected at one point, so it's something like  $I_0/2\pi a dx$  for x within dx/2 of zero and zero otherwise. In the limit that  $dx \to 0$ , the factor of 1/dx turns into a delta-function, leading to the equation

$$\tau_m \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - u + \frac{r_m I_0}{2\pi a} \delta(x)$$
(19)

where  $\delta(x)$  is the Dirac delta-function; it has the property that it is zero when  $x \neq 0$  and it integrates to 1: so long as a and b are positive, then

$$\int_{-a}^{b} dx \delta(x) = 1. \tag{20}$$

The delta-function is one of the most useful functions in the world; it's a good idea to know about it.

If we let the cable equation evolve for a long time, eventually we'll reach steady state – meaning  $\partial u(x,t)/\partial t = 0$ . Thus, in the limit  $t \to \infty$ , our cable equation becomes

$$\lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - u = -R_\lambda I_0 \lambda \delta(x) \tag{21}$$

where

$$R_{\lambda} \equiv \frac{r_m}{2\pi a\lambda} = \frac{r_L\lambda}{\pi a^2} \,. \tag{22}$$

As an aside,  $R_{\lambda}$  has a very natural interpretation: Recall that total resistance of a material is equal to resistivity,  $r_L$  times the length of the material divided by its area. Thus,  $R_{\lambda}$  is the resistance of a dendrite with radius a and length  $\lambda$ . Which, in hind sight, is not especially surprising. But it's kind of cool.

Solving equations with delta-functions is often kind of easy, and that's the case here. That's because when  $x \neq 0$ , we have a simple linear ODE. The only problem is to figure out what happens at x = 0. For that we just have to take derivatives of discontinuous functions. If you know that, or you don't know it and don't care, you can skip the next couple of paragraphs. However, you'll need it to understand the solution to Eq. (21).

Consider a function g(x) that's continuous everywhere except at  $x = x_0$ . For instance, we might have  $g(x) = x^2$  if  $x < x_0$  and  $g(x) = x^2 + 4$  if  $x > x_0$ . We'll define  $g_0$  and  $g_1$  to be

the values of g(x) when approached from the below and above  $x_0$ , respectively. In the above example,  $g_0 = x_0^2$  and  $g_1 = x_0^2 + 4$ . To compute a derivative, we'll use the usual expression,

$$\frac{dg(x)}{dx} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g(x+\epsilon/2) - g(x-\epsilon/2)}{\epsilon} \,. \tag{23}$$

Most of the time this just gives us the derivative. However, if  $x = x_0$ , things are slightly more complicated,

$$\frac{dg(x)}{dx} = \lim_{\epsilon \to 0} \frac{g_1 - g_0}{\epsilon}, \qquad (24)$$

which goes to  $\infty$ . To figure out how big the infinity is, we note that

$$g(x+a) = g(x-b) + \int_{x-b}^{x+a} dy \, \frac{dg(y)}{dy} \,. \tag{25}$$

If we take  $x = x_0$  and both a and b infinitesimally small, the integral should equal  $g_1 - g_0$ . This is achieved if  $dg(y)/dy = (g_1 - g_0)\delta(x)$ . Thus, the infinity is equal to the infinity associated with the Dirac delta-function. Derivatives at discontinuities, then, yield delta-functions times the size of the discontinuity,

$$\frac{dg}{dx} = \frac{dg}{dx}\Big|_{\text{continuous}} + (g_1 - g_0)\delta(x - x_0)$$
(26)

where the subscript "continuous" means the continuous part of the derivative.

Given the above discussion, and the fact that  $u(x) \to 0$  when  $x \to \pm \infty$ , it's not hard to figure out, or at least verify, that Eq. (21) has the solution

$$u(x) = \frac{I_0 R_\lambda}{2} e^{-|x|/\lambda} \,. \tag{27}$$

Thus,  $\lambda$  – the electrotonic length – determines the spread of voltage in response to steady injected current.

So how big is  $\lambda$ ? Using its definition (Eq. (13)) and the values of  $r_L$  and  $r_m$  given in Table 1, we have

$$\lambda = 0.5 \text{ mm } \times \sqrt{a(\mu \text{m})}.$$
(28)

Given that a is on the order of one  $\mu$ m, the electrotonic length is on the order of 1 mm. This places a fundamental limit on the length of dendrites: if they're much longer than 1 mm, steady input at the distal ends of the dendrites will not be seen by the soma.

Before going to the time dependent case, we want to do one more thing: find u(x) when the input current density,  $i_e(x)$ , is a smooth function of x (rather than the  $\delta$ -function considered above). The method for doing that is general, but I'll be honest: I don't use it very much. Still, if you're planning on doing math for a living, it's worth knowing about.

Let's consider a slight modification to Eq. (21): we'll center the delta-function around x = x', and we won't include the multiplicative constants. The resulting equation is

$$\lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 G(x-x')}{\partial x^2} - G(x-x') = -\delta(x-x').$$
<sup>(29)</sup>

Parameter	Value	Relation to variables in our derivation
$r_L$	$1 \text{ k}\Omega\text{-mm}$	$R_L = r_L dx / \pi a^2$
$r_m$	$1 \text{ M}\Omega\text{-mm}^2$	$R_m = r_m / \text{Area}$
$c_m$	$10 \text{ nF/mm}^2$	$C_m = c_m \times \text{Area}$
λ	$\sqrt{r_m a/2r_L}$	electrotonic length

 Table 1. Dendritic parameters. All numbers are approximate.

The function G(x - x') is called the Green function, presumably named after Green. It's easy to solve this equation: using our previous solution (Eq. (27)), but shifted by x', we have

$$G(x - x') = \frac{1}{2\lambda} e^{-|x - x'|/\lambda}.$$
(30)

Now consider the function

$$u(x) = \int dx' G(x - x') r_m i_e(x') \,. \tag{31}$$

Using Eq. (29) for the Green function, we see that

$$\lambda^{2} \frac{\partial^{2} u(x,t)}{\partial x^{2}} - u = -\int dx' \,\delta(x-x') r_{m} i_{e}(x') = -r_{m} i_{e}(x).$$
(32)

Thus, if we can compute the Green function, we can find the solution to Eq. (18) for any time independent current density  $i_e(x)$  just by performing a convolution! That's often very convenient, and it's used extensively in quantum field theory.

### 1.3 The response of a dendrite to a time-dependent injected current

Given our experience with the Green function above, it's enough to know the solution for a delta-function current – so long as it's a delta-function over time as well as space. We thus consider the equation

$$\tau_m \frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - u(x,y) + I_0 R_\lambda \lambda \tau_m \delta(x) \delta(t).$$
(33)

The constants in front of the delta-functions are there to make the units work out. Once we solve this equation, it will be easy to solve the equation with the delta-functions at arbitrary points in space and time,  $\delta(x)\delta(t) \rightarrow \delta(x - x')\delta(t - t')$ , and then easy to fund u(x,t) in response to an arbitrary current density  $i_e(x,t)$ .

There are several ways to solve Eq. (33), probably the easiest of which is to Fourier transform with respect to x, solve the resulting ordinary differential equation in t, and then Fourier transform back. But I won't go into detail; instead I'll just write down the solution,

$$u(x,t) = \frac{I_0 R_\lambda}{\sqrt{4\pi(t/\tau_m)}} \exp\left[-\frac{(x/\lambda)^2}{4(t/\tau_m)}\right] e^{-(t/\tau_m)} \Theta(t)$$
(34)

where  $\Theta(t)$  is the Heaviside step function:  $\Theta(t) = 1$  if t > 0 and 0 otherwise. You should verify this is the solution to Eq. (33). That's easy to do for t > 0; it's much harder to do when t = 0. There's also the problem of verifying the overall normalization, but there's a trick for that: integrate over all x,

$$\tau_m \frac{d}{dt} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, u(x,t) = \lambda^2 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} - \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, u(x,t) + I_0 R_\lambda \lambda \tau_m \delta(t) \,. \tag{35}$$

Assuming du(x,t)/dx vanishes at  $\pm \infty$  (an assumption we can make on physical grounds), the first term on the right hand side is zero. consequently,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \, u(x,t) = I_0 R_\lambda \lambda \Theta(t) e^{-t/\tau_m} \,. \tag{36}$$

This is consistent with the overall normalization in Eq. (34).

Equation (34) tells us that at any point in time, u(x,t) is Gaussian with width proportional to  $\lambda \sqrt{t/\tau_m}$ . The fact that the width is proportional to  $\lambda$  is consistent with what we found in the steady state case. However, because of the factor of  $\sqrt{t/\tau_m}$ , it might seem that the membrane potential could spread much farther in the time-dependent case than in the time-independent case. However, this isn't really true: if t is large compared to  $\tau_m$ , it's true that the Gaussian will be wide compared to  $\lambda$ . But because of the factor  $e^{-(t/\tau_m)}$ , its amplitude will be exponentially small. Thus, again the spread of voltage can't be much more than  $\lambda$ .

While the x-dependence is easy to understand, it's not all that relevant to the soma. We'll ignore for the moment the fact that dendrites branch, and think of a dendrite as being infinitely long, and place the soma a distance L from the point where the current is injected. In that case, the time dependence of the voltage at the soma is given by

$$u(L,t) \propto \exp\left[-\frac{(L/\lambda)^2}{4(t/\tau_m)} - (t/\tau_m) - \frac{1}{2}\log(t/\tau_m)\right]$$
 (37)

At small times the voltage is suppressed by the first term in brackets; at large times it's suppressed by the second, and, to a lesser extent, the third term. In between is a maximum, which we can find by differentiating the term in brackets with respect to t and setting the resulting expression to zero. That maximum, which we'll denote  $t^*(L)$ , is given by

$$\frac{t^*(L)}{\tau_m} = \frac{\sqrt{(L/\lambda)^2 + 1} - 1}{4} \,. \tag{38}$$

We can define the "speed" of propagation, denoted v, as the ratio of L to  $t^*(L)$ ,

$$v = \frac{L}{t^*(L)} = \frac{\lambda}{\tau_m} \frac{4L/\lambda}{\sqrt{(L/\lambda)^2 + 1} - 1} \,. \tag{39}$$

When L is small compared to  $\lambda$ ,  $v \propto \lambda^2/L\tau_m$ . Thus, for very short distances the speed is high; that's because the cable equation is a diffusion equation, for which the width grows as  $\sqrt{t}$ . When L is large, on the other hand, things are much better behaved:  $v \to 4\lambda/\tau_m$ . Given the definition of  $\lambda$  (Eq. (13)), this implies that the speed scales with the square root of the radius. That's a fact that many people seem to know, but the truth of the matter is that it simply doesn't come up that often.

#### **1.4 Beyond cylinders: branching**

Dendrites are not, of course, simply cylinders. A reasonable approximation is to treat them as cylinders that occasionally branch. If we wanted to solve the cable equations at a branch point, we just need to know the boundary conditions. Those are relatively simple: both the current and voltage are continuous. The current needs to be continuous because otherwise there would be a buildup of charge; the voltage needs to be continuous because the current is proportional to  $\partial V(x,t)/\partial x$  (see Eq. (2) and note that  $R_L \propto dx$ ); if there were discontinuities, the current would go to infinity. (We saw this in the above analysis: when the current was proportional to a delta-function (Eq. (21)), there was a discontinuity in the voltage (Eq. (27)).

We'll consider a typical branch point, as shown in Fig. 2, with constant current,  $I_0$ , injected a distance y from the branch point. The red arrows indicate both the direction of the current and the direction of the three coordinates,  $x_1$ ,  $x_2$  and  $x_3$ . We'll start by writing down an expression for the voltage in the three branches. For branches 2 and 3 we just have exponential decay away from 0, but for branch 1 we also have the term associated with the injected current. Using the steady state solution given in Eq. (27), we see that

$$V(x_1) = \frac{R_{\lambda_1} I_0}{2} e^{-|x_1 - y|/\lambda_1} + A_1 e^{-x_1/\lambda_1}$$
(40a)

$$V(x_2) = A_2 e^{-x_2/\lambda_2}$$
(40b)

$$V(x_2) = A_2 e^{-x_3/\lambda_3}$$
(400)
$$V(x_3) = A_3 e^{-x_3/\lambda_3}$$
(40c)

where  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$  are constants that we will determine shortly. For the currents, use take the  $dx \to 0$  limit of Eq. (2) and use Eq. (5) for  $R_L$ i, which together imply that  $I(x) = (\pi a^2/r_L)\partial V(x)/\partial x$ ; resulting in

$$I(x_1) = -\frac{R_{\lambda_1}I_0}{2} \frac{\pi a_1^2}{r_L \lambda_1} e^{-|x_1 - y|/\lambda_1} \operatorname{sign}(x_1 - y) - \frac{\pi a_1^2}{r_L \lambda_1} A_1 e^{-x_1/\lambda_1}$$
(41a)

$$I(x_2) = -\frac{\pi a_2^2}{r_L \lambda_2} A_2 e^{-x_2/\lambda_2}$$
(41b)

$$I(x_3) = -\frac{\pi a_3^2}{r_L \lambda_3} A_3 e^{-x_3/\lambda_3} \,. \tag{41c}$$

To find the values of  $A_1$ ,  $A_2$  and  $A_3$ , we use our boundary conditions on voltage and current. The first is that the voltage is continuous at 0, giving us

$$\frac{R_{\lambda_1}I_0}{2}e^{-y/\lambda_1} + A_1 = A_2 = A_3.$$
(42)

The second is that the total current,  $I_1 + I_2 + I_3$ , is zero. Using the fact that  $\lambda \propto a^{1/2}$  (see Eq. (13)), zero total current implies that

$$\frac{R_{\lambda_1}I_0}{2}a_1^{3/2}e^{-y/\lambda_1} - a_1^{3/2}A_1 - a_2^{3/2}A_2 - a_3^{3/2}A_3 = 0.$$
(43)



Figure 2: Dendritic branch point. Each branch has a different radius. We adopt a convention in which current is outward for all branches, and distance increases in the outward direction. Constant current is injected a distance y from the branch point in branch 1. Our goal is to find the membrane potential in each branch.

Solving the above two equations gives us

$$A_1 = \frac{R_{\lambda_1} I_0}{2} e^{-y/\lambda_1} \frac{a_1^{3/2} - a_2^{3/2} - a_3^{3/2}}{a_1^{3/2} + a_2^{3/2} + a_3^{3/2}}$$
(44a)

$$A_2 = A_3 = R_{\lambda_1} I_0 e^{-y/\lambda_1} \frac{a_1^{3/2}}{a_1^{3/2} + a_2^{3/2} + a_3^{3/2}}.$$
 (44b)

If  $a_1^{3/2} = a_2^{3/2} + a_2^{3/2}$ , then  $A_1 = 0$ . This is the famous "three halves power law". When the radii satisfy this relationship, there is no "reflected" voltage from the branch point. More interestingly, if all the branch points on a dendritic tree satisfy this relationship, a branching dendrite can be replaced by a single "equivalent" cylinder. For details on how to do that, see Dayan and Abbott.

# 2 Axons

The fact that information can't propagate very far in dendrites means we need a different structure to support the long range propagation that's necessary in animals larger than about 1 mm. That brings us to axons. Axons have two properties that increase the range of signal propagation. First, they have a myelin sheath wrapped around them. That sheath has effectively infinite resistance, so no current flows in or out. Consequently, the time dependent solution that we found in Eq. (34) is missing the decay term,  $e^{-t/\tau_m}$ . That helps, but not much; the solution is still a spreading Gaussian, the spread is slow at large times, and the amplitude falls off with time.

The second, and more important, property of axons is that they have active channels. These are situated at the nodes of Ranvier – locations along the axon where the myelin sheath



Figure 3: Propagation along axons. **a**. A section of an axon. The dark regions indicate the myelin sheath; the notches are the nodes of Ranvier, which contain active sodium and (usually) potassium channels. **b**. Membrane potential relative to the leak reversal potential versus x at various times. **c**. Membrane potential relative to the leak reversal potential versus t at various locations. For both **a** and **b** the diffusion constant, D, was 1.

is missing (Fig. 3a). Nodes of Ranvier contain active sodium channels – like the Hodgkin neuron, so when the voltage is high enough an action potential occurs. When that happens, there is a very brief, very large, inward current, which causes the membrane potential to quickly climb to about 0 mV.

Propagation of an axon, then, proceeds as follows. Let's start at the soma, and assume it spikes. (It turns out that spike initiation is often in the axon, a few tens of microns from the soma, but that's a detail). When a spike occurs, a large, fast current is injected into the end of the axon. That causes the voltage to quickly spread into the axon – just as it did in the dendrites (see Fig. 3b). Eventually the voltage at the next node of Ranvier is high enough to produce an action potential (see Fig. 3c), and the process repeats.

This is called saltatory conductance; the name comes from the fact that at the microscopic level, signal propagation is not smooth. Note that the signal can go in either direction. However, because sodium channels de-inactivate slowly (it takes a few ms for the h-current to decay to zero) and potassium channels inactivate slowly (it takes several ms for the n-current to decay), once saltatory conductance starts in one direction, it can't suddenly go in the other. And under normal conditions propagation is almost always away from the soma. However, electrophysiologist often deliberately cause propagation to go toward the soma; such propagation is referred to as antidromic.

The intuitive picture is the main thing we need to understand. However, as usual, we're going to do some math. We're going to solve the cable equation with a delta-function current, as in Eq. (33). The idea is that the nodes of Ranvier supply the delta-function, and voltage then diffuses along the myelinated part of the axon. However, we can't quite use Eq. (33) because it involves  $r_m$ , which is infinite for the myelinated part. We thus have to go back to Eq. (7). Because of the myelin there's no leak term (so  $i_m = 0$ ). We'll divide both sides by

 $c_m$ , put a delta-function on the right hand side, and not worry too much about units. The equation we want to solve is, then,

$$\frac{\partial u(x,t)}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 u(x,t)}{\partial x^2} + \delta(x)\delta(t)$$
(45)

where the diffusion constant, D, is given by

$$D \equiv \frac{a}{2r_L c_m} \,. \tag{46}$$

Note that  $r_L$  has units of resistance times length (Eq. (5)) and  $c_m$  has units of capacitance per area (Eq. (6)), so D has units of length<sup>2</sup>/time. Here u is membrane potential relative to the resting membrane potential at the nodes of Ranvier.

We'll use L to denote the spacing between the nodes of Ranvier. Given our above discussion of saltatory conductance, the relevant quantity is the membrane potential at the next node, at x = L. That's given by.

$$u(L,t) = \frac{e^{-L^2/4Dt}}{\sqrt{4\pi Dt}}\Theta(t).$$

$$\tag{47}$$

The form of this equation follows from Eq. (34) and two observations:  $D = \lambda^2 / \tau_m$ , a quantity that is independent of  $r_m$ , and when  $r_m \to \infty$ ,  $\tau_m$  also goes to  $\infty$ . To see that the amplitude is correct, note that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{-\infty}^{\infty}u(x,t)=\delta(t),$$
(48)

which implies that when t > 0, u(x, t) must integrate to 1 – as it does in Eq. (47).

We're interested in the maximum value of u(L,t) as a function of t, which we denote  $u(L,t^*)$ . This we can find by setting the derivative of the right hand side of Eq. (47) with respect to t to zero. After a small amount of algebra, we find that  $t^* = L^2/2D$ , yielding

$$u(L,t^*) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi e}} \frac{1}{L}.$$
(49)

This indicates that the maximum membrane potential at the next node of Ranvier – which needs to be above threshold for the generation of an action potential – falls off rather slowly with distance. This slow falloff is also apparent in plots of u(L,t) versus t for various values of L (see Fig. 3c). The slow falloff of the maximum voltage doesn't put a strong constraint on L. For those who are interested, there's a good discussion of the actual constraints – which involve propagation speed – in Dayan and Abbott.