

# Assignment 2

## Theoretical Neuroscience

TAs:

Roman Pogodin (roman.pogodin.17@ucl.ac.uk)  
Franziska Broeker (franziska.broeker.15@ucl.ac.uk)  
Ilyes Khemakhem (ilyes.khemakhem.17@ucl.ac.uk)

Due 15 February, 2019

### 1. Infinite cable response to arbitrary time-varying input

As we all know, the passive cable equation can be written

$$\tau_m \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u = r_m i_e \quad (1)$$

where  $u(x, t) = V(x, t) - \mathcal{E}_L$  is the membrane potential relative to the leak reversal potential,  $\tau_m$  is the membrane time constant,  $\lambda = (r_m a / 2r_L)^{1/2}$  is the length constant,  $r_m$  is the specific resistance of the membrane,  $r_L$  is the longitudinal resistivity, and  $a$  is the radius of the cable.

- (a) Let  $i_e = r_m^{-1} \delta(x) \delta(t)$ . (Yes, we know this has the wrong units but, as you'll see below, there's a reason for this.) Show that

$$u(x, t) = \frac{1}{\tau_m} \frac{\exp[-x^2 / (4\lambda^2 t / \tau_m) - t / \tau_m]}{(4\pi\lambda^2 t / \tau_m)^{1/2}} \Theta(t)$$

where  $\Theta(t)$  is the Heaviside step function ( $\Theta(t) = 1$  if  $t > 0$  and 0 otherwise).

**Hint:** Fourier transform both sides of Eq. (1) with respect to  $x$  (but not  $t$ ), solve the resulting differential equation in time, then Fourier transform back.

- (b) Plot the time course of the voltage at position  $x = 0, \lambda, 2\lambda$ . Write down an expression for the maximum amplitude of the voltage (with respect to time) as a function of  $x$ . Use this expression to determine the “speed” at which signals travel in a passive cable. Here speed is defined as  $x/t_{\max}(x)$  where  $t_{\max}$  is the time at which the voltage reaches a maximum at position  $x$ . Why is speed in quotes?
- (c) Let  $u_\delta(x, t)$  be the solution to Eq. (1) with  $i_e = r_m^{-1} \delta(x) \delta(t)$ . This is the Green function for the infinite, linear cable. The Green function is useful because it allows us to solve the equation

$$\tau \frac{\partial u}{\partial t} - \lambda^2 \frac{\partial^2 u}{\partial x^2} + u = r_m i_e(x, t). \quad (2)$$

Show that the solution to Eq. (2) is

$$u(x, t) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt' \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx' u_{\delta}(x - x', t - t') r_m i_e(x', t').$$

The Green function method for solving linear inhomogeneous ODEs is an extremely powerful one; you should remember it.

## 2. Propagation in axons

Between nodes of Ranvier, the membrane potential in axons obeys the equation

$$\frac{\partial V}{\partial t} = D \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial x^2} + c_0 a_1 \delta(t) \delta(x)$$

where  $a_1$  is inner radius of the axon. This equation implies that a bolus of charge is injected at position  $x = 0$  (the location of a node of Ranvier) at time  $t = 0$

- Why is the total injected charge proportional to the inner radius?
- Verify, by directly computing the derivatives, that this has the solution

$$V(x, t) = c_0 a_1 \frac{e^{-x^2/4Dt}}{(4\pi Dt)^{1/2}} \Theta(t).$$

- We want to know how long it takes the voltage to reach a value large enough to cause a spike in the next node of Ranvier. Assume “large enough” is  $V_0$ , so the goal is to find the value of  $t_0$  that satisfies

$$V(L, t_0) = V_0.$$

Show that

$$t_0 = \gamma(L/a_1, V_0) \frac{L^2}{4D} \tag{3}$$

where  $\gamma$  is an increasing function of  $V_0$ .

Note that if  $a_1 \propto L$  (as it is in real axons), the time to reach  $V_0$  is independent of the inner diameter of the axon.

- Show that there is a critical value of  $V_0$  above which the membrane potential never reaches  $V_0$ .
- Show that at the critical value,  $\gamma(L/a_1, V_0) = 2$ .

## 3. Noise in the amount of neurotransmitter per vesicle

It is common to model the neuromuscular junction as a synapse with  $n$  release sites. When an action potential arrives at the synapse, neurotransmitter is released (or not) from each site *independently*. The probability of release for all sites is  $p$ . If neurotransmitter is released from a particular site, the amount released, which we'll call  $q$ , is drawn from a distribution, denoted  $P(q)$ . This distribution has mean  $\bar{q}$  and variance  $\sigma_q^2$ .

- What is the mean total amount of neurotransmitter released in terms of  $n$ ,  $p$ ,  $\bar{q}$  and  $\sigma_q^2$ ?
- What is the variance of the total amount of neurotransmitter released in terms of  $n$ ,  $p$ ,  $\bar{q}$  and  $\sigma_q^2$ ?
- Plot the probability distribution of total neurotransmitter released. Assume  $P(q)$  is Gaussian with standard deviation 0.5,  $\bar{q} = 1$ ,  $n = 10$  and  $p = 0.25$ .
- Why is the Gaussian assumption unrealistic?

For part c, you'll need to know that the probability that neurotransmitter is released at exactly  $k$  sites, denoted  $p(k)$ , is

$$p(k) = p^k (1-p)^{n-k} \frac{n!}{k!(n-k)!}.$$

This is the famous binomial distribution.

#### 4. Spike-time dependent plasticity

In an STDP model proposed by Graupner and Brunel (*PNAS* **109**:39913996, 2012), and simplified by me, the calcium concentration,  $C$ , in postsynaptic terminals obeys the differential equation

$$\frac{dC}{dt} = -\frac{C}{\tau} + \sum_i \delta(t - t_i^{pre} - D) + \rho \sum_j \delta(t - t_j^{post})$$

where  $t_i^{pre}$  are the times of the presynaptic spikes,  $t_j^{post}$  are the times of the postsynaptic spikes, and  $\delta(\cdot)$  is the Dirac delta-function. The delay,  $D$  is positive, as is  $\rho$ . The strength of the synapse, denoted  $w$ , evolves according to

$$\tau_w \frac{dw}{dt} = \Theta(C - C_0) - \Theta(C - C_1)\Theta(C_0 - C)$$

where  $\Theta(\cdot)$  is the Heaviside step function. Under this rule, the weight increases when  $C > C_0$  and decreases when  $C_0 > C > C_1$ ; it can also be written

$$\Delta w = \frac{(\text{total time for which } C > C_0) - (\text{total time for which } C_0 > C > C_1)}{\tau_w}$$

where  $\Delta w$  is the change in weight.

For simplicity, in what follows, assume that there is only one presynaptic spike at time  $t = 0$ , and one postsynaptic spike at time  $t = t_0$ .

- Assume that  $1 + \rho > C_0 > C_1 > \max(1, \rho)$ . List several reasons why we make this assumption.
- Derive an expression for  $C(t)$ .
- Derive an expression for the total change in weight (at a time long after the pair of spikes) versus  $t_0$ .
- Plot the expression for the total change in weight versus  $t_0$ , using  $\rho = 1$ ,  $C_0 = 1.2$  and  $C_1 = 1.1$ . How would you choose  $D$  to make this look as much as possible like classical STDP?

#### 5. Oja's rule

In an incredibly simple model of a neuron, the output,  $y$ , is related to the input,  $\mathbf{x}$ , via

$$y = \mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{x}.$$

The weight,  $\mathbf{w}$ , is updated after each presentation of  $\mathbf{x}$  according to

$$\Delta \mathbf{w} = \eta y (\mathbf{x} - y \mathbf{w})$$

- (a) Show that the average change in weight,  $\langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle$ , is given by

$$\langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle = \eta (\mathbf{w} \cdot \Sigma - \mathbf{w} \cdot \Sigma \cdot \mathbf{w} \mathbf{w})$$

where

$$\Sigma \equiv \langle \mathbf{x}\mathbf{x} \rangle$$

is the covariance matrix of the input (assuming it's zero mean; otherwise  $\Sigma$  has another name).

- (b) Show that in equilibrium (when  $\langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$ ), the weights have unit length:  $\mathbf{w} \cdot \mathbf{w} = 1$ .
- (c) Show that in equilibrium (when  $\langle \Delta \mathbf{w} \rangle = 0$ ), the weight points in the direction of the eigenvector of  $\Sigma$  with the largest eigenvalue.
- (d) What happens if there are two eigenvectors with largest eigenvalue?