

Assignment 10

Theoretical Neuroscience

Arthur Guez (aguez@gatsby.ucl.ac.uk)
Marius Pachitariu (marius@gatsby.ucl.ac.uk)

Due 23 December, 2011

1. Linear analysis

Consider an equation of the form

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}}{dt} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{z} \quad (1)$$

which in component form looks like $dz_i/dt = \sum_j A_{ij}z_j$. (The “.” notation, favored by physicists worldwide, can be used for multiplying both matrices with vectors and vectors with vectors. For the former, the i^{th} component of $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{z}$ is $\sum_j A_{ij}z_j$, and for the latter $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_i x_i y_i$.)

Define \mathbf{v}_k , \mathbf{v}_k^\dagger , and λ_k via the equations

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_k^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{A} = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k^\dagger \quad (2b)$$

The \mathbf{v}_k and \mathbf{v}_k^\dagger are eigenvectors and adjoint eigenvectors, respectively (the latter sometimes called left eigenvectors), and the λ_k are the associated eigenvalues. If \mathbf{A} is an $n \times n$ matrix (which would mean that \mathbf{z} has n components), there are n eigenvectors. Assume a normalization such that $\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_l^\dagger = \delta_{kl}$.

Show that if \mathbf{z} evolves according to Eq. (1) and $\mathbf{z}(t=0) = \mathbf{z}_0$, then

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = \sum_k \mathbf{v}_k \mathbf{v}_k^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{z}_0 e^{\lambda_k t} \quad (3)$$

Remember this! If you stay in computational neuroscience, you will use it over and over and over.

2. A memory network

Consider firing rate equations of the form

$$\tau \frac{d\nu_i}{dt} = \phi \left(\gamma \bar{\nu} + \frac{\beta}{Nf(1-f)} \sum_{j=1}^N \eta_i(\eta_j - f)\nu_j \right) - \nu_i \quad (4)$$

where N is the number of neurons, γ and β are constants, γ is negative, $\bar{\nu}$ is, as usual, the firing rate averaged over neurons,

$$\bar{\nu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \nu_i, \quad (5)$$

η is a random binary vector,

$$\eta_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{probability } f \\ 0 & \text{probability } (1 - f), \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and ϕ is sigmoidal (and thus monotonically increasing).

Let

$$m = \frac{1}{Nf(1-f)} \sum_i (\eta_i - f)\nu_i. \quad (7)$$

Note that m is the firing rate of the “memory” neurons relative to the mean firing rate, with an extra factor of $1/(1-f)$ thrown in to simplify the equations that you will derive.

2a. Derive *dynamical* mean field equations for $\bar{\nu}$ and m in the large N limit. By “dynamical,” I mean derive equations for $d\bar{\nu}/dt$ and dm/dt .

2b. Sketch the nullclines for $\bar{\nu}$ and m assuming ϕ is sigmoidal. Work in a regime in which there are three equilibria, and indicate their stability. The bistability (two stable equilibria) is the reason we call this a memory network.

Take the $N \rightarrow \infty$ limit wherever applicable.

Assume the following:

- $\phi(0) > 0$.
- $\beta\phi'(\gamma\nu_0) < 1$ where ν_0 be the equilibrium mean firing rate when $m = 0$.
- When $\bar{\nu} = \nu_0$, m has three equilibria.

This is a hard, but important, problem.

2c. Suppose we have multiple memories; that is, in Eq. (4), we make the replacement

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \eta_i(\eta_j - f)\nu_j \rightarrow \sum_{\mu=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^N \eta_i^\mu(\eta_j^\mu - f)\nu_j \quad (8)$$

How would this affect your analysis? Can you get similar nullclines?

3. Hopfield networks reduce energy

Consider a Hopfield network that evolves *asynchronously* according to

$$S_i(t+1) = \text{sign} \left[\sum_j J_{ij} S_j(t) \right] \quad (9)$$

where J_{ij} is symmetric and has no diagonal elements,

$$J_{ij} = J_{ji} \tag{10a}$$

$$J_{ii} = 0. \tag{10b}$$

Define the energy,

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} S_i(t) J_{ij} S_j(t). \tag{11}$$

3a. Show that if the S_i obey the dynamics given in Eq. (10), then the energy never increases; i.e., $H(t+1) \leq H(t)$.

3b. Show that if $J_{ii} \neq 0$, it is possible for the energy to increase. It is sufficient to find an example, with as few neurons as you want.