# Adapting Auxiliary Losses Using Gradient Similarity

Yunshu Du\*, Wojciech M. Czarnecki\*, Siddhant M. Jayakumar, Razvan Pascanu, Balaji Lakshminarayanan



yunshu.du@wsu.edu, {lejlot, sidmj, razp, balajiln}@google.com

## 1. PROBLEM SETUP

# 2. Using Gradient Cosine Similarity To Adapt Auxiliary Loss

Given a main task of interest and an auxiliary task which is not of direct interest, how do we weight the auxiliary loss? The typical multi-task approach uses:

$$\underset{\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\phi}_{main},\boldsymbol{\phi}_{aux}}{\arg\min} \mathcal{L}_{main}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\phi}_{main}) + \lambda \mathcal{L}_{aux}(\boldsymbol{\theta},\boldsymbol{\phi}_{aux})$$

However, this could be sub-optimal since we care only about performance on the main task. E.g., the auxiliary loss might help initially but hurt later. We want to solve the following problem:

**Motivating example**: main function  $\mathcal{L}_{main} = (\theta - 10)^2$  auxiliary function  $\mathcal{L}_{aux} = \theta^2$ 

Given two tasks with shared parameters  $\theta$  & task-specific parameters  $\phi_{main}$  &  $\phi_{aux}$ ), the update:

$$\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t+1)} := \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \alpha^{(t)} \Big( \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}_{main}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) + \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}_{aux}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}) \max(0, \cos(\nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}_{main}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)}), \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} \mathcal{L}_{aux}(\boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)})) \Big)$$

 $\phi_{main}^{(t+1)} := \phi_{main}^{(t)} - \alpha^{(t)} \nabla_{\phi_{main}} \mathcal{L}_{main}(\Theta^{(t)}) \quad \text{and} \quad \phi_{aux}^{(t+1)} := \phi_{aux}^{(t)} - \alpha^{(t)} \nabla_{\phi_{aux}} \mathcal{L}_{aux}(\Theta^{(t)})$ 

800  

$$\mathcal{L}_{main} = (\theta - 10)^2$$
  
 $\mathcal{L}_{aux} = \theta^2$   
 $\mathcal{L}_{aux}$ 

 $\arg\min_{\boldsymbol{\mathcal{L}}_{main}} \mathcal{L}_{main} \left( \boldsymbol{\theta}^{(t)} - \alpha \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} (\mathcal{L}_{main} + \lambda^{(t)} \mathcal{L}_{aux}), \boldsymbol{\phi}_{main}^{(t)} - \alpha \nabla_{\boldsymbol{\phi}_{main}} \mathcal{L}_{main} \right)$ 

**Question:** How to automatically adapt the auxiliary loss so that it does not hurt the main loss?

leads to convergence to local minimum of  $\mathcal{L}_{main}$  w.r.t.  $(\boldsymbol{\theta}, \boldsymbol{\phi}_{main})$  given small enough  $\alpha^{(t)}$ .

Weighted version: Weight the auxiliary loss by cosine similarity (as above).

**Unweighted version**: Use aux loss when cos > threshold and ignore otherwise.



#### 3. SUPERVISED LEARNING USING PAIRS OF IMAGENET CLASSES



Given a pair of classes (A, B), we define the main task as (A vs. rest) and the auxiliary task as (B vs. rest).

Ground truth of task similarity: use Least Common Ancestor (LCA) Figure (a): we validate that near pairs have high cosine similarity and far pairs have low cosine similarity. and Frechet Inception Distance (FID) between ImageNet classes. Figure (b): in a near pair, our method uses auxiliary to learn faster and recovers the performance of multi-task Figure (c): in a far pair, our method successfully ignores auxiliary and recovers the performance of single task

Near pair: the most similar, such as *Trimaran* and *Catamaran* Far pair: the least similar, such as *Rock python* and *Traffic light* 

Our method automatically uses (ignores) auxiliary when it helps (hurts), achieving the best of both worlds.

### 4. REINFORCEMENT LEARNING ON IMPERFECT-TEACHER DISTILLATION

#### **5. SUMMARY**



- Proposed gradient cosine similarity as a simple yet effective way to automatically adapt the auxiliary task to help (& not hurt) the main task.
- Experiments on ImageNet and Atari show empirical success; paper contains additional experiments on cross-domain distillation tasks.
- Paper shows theoretical guarantees on the convergence to local optimum of the main task.

#### **Potential issues and Future directions**

• Guarantees convergence to local optimum of the main task but not faster convergence.

Single task on Breakout: the main task is Breakout, the auxiliary task is a sub-optimal pre-trained Breakout teacher

- Only KL: solely following the teacher leads to sub-optimal solutions
- RL (Baseline): single task learning without the teacher
- RL + KL (Baseline): the teacher only helps initially
- Our Method: uses the teacher's knowledge when it helps initially and ignores when it hurts later on

Multi-task on Breakout and Ms. PacMan: the main task is multi-task Breakout + Ms. PacMan, the auxiliary task is a sub-optimal pre-trained Breakout teacher

Multi-task: learns Ms. PacMan at the expense of Breakout

Multi-task RL + Distillation: the teacher helps Breakout but hurts Ms. PacMan

Our Method: Ms. PacMan ignores the teacher when it hurts; both Breakout and Ms. Pacman learn well

• Extend theory to optimizers that rely on

statistics of the gradients or second order information (e.g., Adam or RMSprop).

• Apply our method to settings where the auxiliary task hurts initially but helps later.