Simple & Scalable Predictive Uncertainty Estimation using Deep Ensembles

Balaji Lakshminarayanan

Joint work with Alexander Pritzel & Charles Blundell



- Predict output distribution p(y|x) rather than point estimate
 - Classification: output label y* along with confidence
 - Regression: output mean and variance

- Predict output distribution p(y|x) rather than point estimate
 - Classification: output label y* along with confidence
 - Regression: output mean and variance
- · What's a "good" uncertainty estimate?
 - Calibration
 - Higher uncertainty on out-of-distribution examples

- Predict output distribution p(y|x) rather than point estimate
 - Classification: output label y* along with confidence
 - Regression: output mean and variance
- · What's a "good" uncertainty estimate?
 - Calibration
 - Higher uncertainty on out-of-distribution examples
- Existing Bayesian solutions: MCMC, VI, MC-Dropout

- Predict output distribution p(y|x) rather than point estimate
 - Classification: output label y* along with confidence
 - Regression: output mean and variance
- · What's a "good" uncertainty estimate?
 - Calibration
 - Higher uncertainty on out-of-distribution examples
- · Existing Bayesian solutions: MCMC, VI, MC-Dropout

Our contribution: A simple yet powerful non-Bayesian baseline

- 1. Let neural network parametrize $p_{\theta}(y|\mathbf{x})$. Use a **proper** scoring rule as training criterion.
 - Classification: cross entropy loss
 - Regression: Gaussian likelihood mean $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ & var $\sigma_{\theta}^2(\mathbf{x})$

- 1. Let neural network parametrize $p_{\theta}(y|\mathbf{x})$. Use a **proper** scoring rule as training criterion.
 - Classification: cross entropy loss
 - Regression: Gaussian likelihood mean $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ & var $\sigma_{\theta}^2(\mathbf{x})$
- 2. Augment with adversarial training
 - Encourages $p(y|\mathbf{x})$ to be similar to $p(y|\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x})$

- 1. Let neural network parametrize $p_{\theta}(y|\mathbf{x})$. Use a **proper** scoring rule as training criterion.
 - Classification: cross entropy loss
 - Regression: Gaussian likelihood mean $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ & var $\sigma_{\theta}^2(\mathbf{x})$
- 2. Augment with adversarial training
 - Encourages $p(y|\mathbf{x})$ to be similar to $p(y|\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x})$
- 3. Train an ensemble of M networks with random initialization

- 1. Let neural network parametrize $p_{\theta}(y|\mathbf{x})$. Use a **proper** scoring rule as training criterion.
 - Classification: cross entropy loss
 - Regression: Gaussian likelihood mean $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ & var $\sigma_{\theta}^2(\mathbf{x})$
- 2. Augment with adversarial training
 - Encourages $p(y|\mathbf{x})$ to be similar to $p(y|\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x})$
- 3. Train an ensemble of M networks with random initialization
- 4. Combine predictions at test time

$$p(y|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} p_{\theta_m}(y|\mathbf{x}, \theta_m)$$

- 1. Let neural network parametrize $p_{\theta}(y|\mathbf{x})$. Use a **proper** scoring rule as training criterion.
 - Classification: cross entropy loss
 - Regression: Gaussian likelihood mean $\mu_{\theta}(\mathbf{x})$ & var $\sigma_{\theta}^2(\mathbf{x})$
- 2. Augment with adversarial training
 - Encourages $p(y|\mathbf{x})$ to be similar to $p(y|\mathbf{x} + \Delta \mathbf{x})$
- 3. Train an ensemble of M networks with random initialization
- 4. Combine predictions at test time

$$p(y|\mathbf{x}) = \frac{1}{M} \sum_{m=1}^{M} p_{\theta_m}(y|\mathbf{x}, \theta_m)$$

Model combination & not Bayesian Model Averaging

Classification Results on MNIST



· Ensembles lead to better predictive uncertainty

Classification Results on MNIST



- Ensembles lead to better predictive uncertainty
- · Adversarial training leads to further improvements

Classification Results on MNIST



- · Ensembles lead to better predictive uncertainty
- · Adversarial training leads to further improvements
- · Similar results on SVHN, ImageNet & regression

Train: MNIST. Test: MNIST + NotMNIST (out-of-distribution)

Train: MNIST. Test: MNIST + NotMNIST (out-of-distribution)



Train: MNIST. Test: MNIST + NotMNIST (out-of-distribution)



Single network & MC-dropout can produce **overconfident wrong** predictions, whereas deep ensembles are more robust.

Train: MNIST. Test: MNIST + NotMNIST (out-of-distribution)



Single network & MC-dropout can produce **overconfident wrong predictions**, whereas **deep ensembles are more robust**. Similar results on ImageNet (dogs vs no-dogs).

Poster # 133

Thanks!