

# Assignment 10

## Theoretical Neuroscience

Loic Matthey (loic.matthey@gatsby.ucl.ac.uk)  
Ritwik Niyogi (Ritwik.Niyogi@gatsby.ucl.ac.uk)

Due 17 December, 2010

### 1. Linear analysis

Consider an equation of the form

$$\frac{d\mathbf{z}}{dt} = \mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{z} \quad (1)$$

which in component form looks like  $dz_i/dt = \sum_j A_{ij}z_j$ . (The “.” notation, favored by physicists worldwide, can be used for multiplying both matrices with vectors and vectors with vectors. For the former, the  $i^{\text{th}}$  component of  $\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{z}$  is  $\sum_j A_{ij}z_j$ , and for the latter  $\mathbf{x} \cdot \mathbf{y} = \sum_i x_i y_i$ .)

Define  $\mathbf{v}_k$ ,  $\mathbf{v}_k^\dagger$ , and  $\lambda_k$  via the equations

$$\mathbf{A} \cdot \mathbf{v}_k = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k \quad (2a)$$

$$\mathbf{v}_k^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{A} = \lambda_k \mathbf{v}_k^\dagger. \quad (2b)$$

The  $\mathbf{v}_k$  and  $\mathbf{v}_k^\dagger$  are eigenvectors and adjoint eigenvectors, respectively (the latter sometimes called left eigenvectors), and the  $\lambda_k$  are the associated eigenvalues. If  $\mathbf{A}$  is an  $n \times n$  matrix (which would mean that  $\mathbf{z}$  has  $n$  components), there are  $n$  eigenvectors. Assume a normalization such that  $\mathbf{v}_k \cdot \mathbf{v}_l^\dagger = \delta_{kl}$ .

Show that if  $\mathbf{z}$  evolves according to Eq. (1) and  $\mathbf{z}(t=0) = \mathbf{z}_0$ , then

$$\mathbf{z}(t) = \sum_k \mathbf{v}_k \mathbf{v}_k^\dagger \cdot \mathbf{z}_0 e^{\lambda_k t}. \quad (3)$$

**Remember this! If you stay in computational neuroscience, you will use it over and over and over.**

### 2. A memory network

Consider firing rate equations of the form

$$\tau \frac{d\nu_i}{dt} = \phi \left( \gamma \bar{\nu} + \frac{\beta}{Nf(1-f)} \sum_{j=1}^N \eta_i(\eta_j - f)\nu_j \right) - \nu_i \quad (4)$$

where  $N$  is the number of neurons,  $\gamma$  and  $\beta$  are constants,  $\gamma$  is negative,  $\bar{\nu}$  is, as usual, the firing rate averaged over neurons,

$$\bar{\nu} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i \nu_i, \quad (5)$$

$\eta$  is a random binary vector,

$$\eta_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{probability } f \\ 0 & \text{probability } (1 - f), \end{cases} \quad (6)$$

and  $\phi$  is sigmoidal (and thus monotonically increasing).

Let

$$m = \frac{1}{Nf(1-f)} \sum_i (\eta_i - f)\nu_i. \quad (7)$$

Note that  $m$  is the firing rate of the “memory” neurons relative to the mean firing rate, with an extra factor of  $1/(1-f)$  thrown in to simplify the equations that you will derive.

**2a.** Derive *dynamical* mean field equations for  $\bar{\nu}$  and  $m$  in the large  $N$  limit. By “dynamical,” I mean derive equations for  $d\bar{\nu}/dt$  and  $dm/dt$ .

**2b.** Sketch the nullclines for  $\bar{\nu}$  and  $m$  assuming  $\phi$  is sigmoidal. Work in a regime in which there are three equilibria, and indicate their stability. The bistability (two stable equilibria) is the reason we call this a memory network.

Take the  $N \rightarrow \infty$  limit wherever applicable.

Assume the following:

- $\phi(0) > 0$ .
- $\beta\phi'(\gamma\nu_0) < 0$  where  $\nu_0$  be the equilibrium mean firing rate when  $m = 0$ .
- When  $\bar{\nu} = \nu_0$ ,  $m$  has three equilibria.

This is a hard, but important, problem.

**2c.** Suppose we have multiple memories; that is, in Eq. (4), we make the replacement

$$\sum_{j=1}^N \eta_i(\eta_j - f)\nu_j \rightarrow \sum_{\mu=1}^p \sum_{j=1}^N \eta_i^\mu(\eta_j^\mu - f)\nu_j \quad (8)$$

How would this affect your analysis? Can you get similar nullclines?

### 3. Hopfield networks reduce energy

Consider a Hopfield network that evolves *asynchronously* according to

$$S_i(t+1) = \text{sign} \left[ \sum_j J_{ij} S_j(t) \right] \quad (9)$$

where  $J_{ij}$  is symmetric and has no diagonal elements,

$$J_{ij} = J_{ji} \tag{10a}$$

$$J_{ii} = 0. \tag{10b}$$

Define the energy,

$$H(t) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{ij} S_i(t) J_{ij} S_j(t). \tag{11}$$

**3a.** Show that if the  $S_i$  obey the dynamics given in Eq. (10), then the energy never increases; i.e.,  $H(t+1) \leq H(t)$ .

**3b.** Show that if  $J_{ii} \neq 0$ , it is possible for the energy to increase. It is sufficient to find an example, with as few neurons as you want.