Simple Consistent Distribution Regression on Compact Metric Domains

Zoltán Szabó 1 , Arthur Gretton 1 , Barnabás Póczos 2 , Bharath K. Sriperumbudur 3

¹Gatsby Unit, University College London ²Machine Learning Department, Carnegie Mellon University ³Department of Pure Mathematics and Mathematical Statistics, University of Cambridge

Problem

- Distribution regression, with two-stage sampling [1]:
 - Input = probability measure, output = real number, but
 - we only have samples from the input distributions.
- Covered machine learning tasks include:
 - multiple instance (MI) learning (MIL),
 - point estimates of statistics (e.g., entropy or a hyperparameter).
- Existing methods: heuristics, or require density estimation (which typically scale poorly in dimension).

Contribution

$$f_{\rho}(\mu_{a}) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} y d\rho(y|\mu_{a}), \|f\|_{\rho}^{2} = \int_{X} |f(\mu_{a})|^{2} d\rho_{X}(\mu_{a}),$$

$$(Tg)(\mu_{a}) = \int_{X} K(\mu_{a}, \mu_{b})g(\mu_{b}) d\rho_{X}(\mu_{b}), \quad T : L^{2}_{\rho_{X}} \to L^{2}_{\rho_{X}}.$$

Objective Function, Algorithm

• **Cost function** (of MERR):

$$f_{\hat{\mathbf{z}}}^{\lambda} = \operatorname*{arg\,min}_{f \in \mathcal{H}} \frac{1}{l} \sum_{i=1}^{l} \left[f(\mu_{\hat{x}_i}) - y_i \right]^2 + \lambda \left\| f \right\|_{\mathcal{H}}^2 \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

where $\hat{x}_i = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \delta_{x_{i,n}}$ is the i^{th} empirical distribution.

- Analytical **solution**: prediction on a new distribution t

- We study an alternative, simple method: embed the distributions to a RKHS, then apply ridge regression.
- Results:
 - Consistency, convergence rate $\xrightarrow{\text{specially}}$
 - Set kernels [2, 3] are consistent in regression (15-year-old open problem).

Introduction

Existing heuristics:

- parametric model fitting, kernelized Gaussian divergences, kernels on distributions, Rényi-, Tsallis divergence, MIL (classification: PAC; set (semi)metric).
- issues: parameterization may fail to hold; metric/kernel? consistent estimation? consistency in learning tasks?

Theoretically justified methods [1, 4]:

- require density estimation (often poor scaling).
- assume density, compact Euclidean domain.

Distribution Regression

 $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{+}(\mathfrak{X}) \text{ (Borel) probability measures on domain } \mathfrak{X}.$ • $\mathbf{z} = \{(x_{i}, y_{i})\}_{i=1}^{l}: (x_{i}, y_{i}) \in \mathcal{M}_{1}^{+}(\mathfrak{X}) \times \mathbb{R}, \text{ i.i.d.}$ • Given: $\hat{\mathbf{z}} = \{(\{x_{i,n}\}_{n=1}^{N}, y_{i})\}_{i=1}^{l}, \text{ where } x_{i,1}, \dots, x_{i,N} \overset{i.i.d.}{\sim} x_{i}.$

$$(f_{\hat{\mathbf{z}}}^{\lambda} \circ \mu)(t) = [y_1, \dots, y_l](\mathbf{K} + l\lambda \mathbf{I}_l)^{-1}\mathbf{k},$$
$$\mathbf{K} = [K(\mu_{\hat{x}_i}, \mu_{\hat{x}_j})], \, \mathbf{k} = [K(\mu_{\hat{x}_1}, \mu_t); \dots; K(\mu_{\hat{x}_l}, \mu_t)].$$

Consistency Theorem

If (i) \mathfrak{X} : compact metric, (ii) k: continuous, (iii), $\mu : \mathfrak{M}_1^+(\mathfrak{X}) \to H(k)$ measurable ($\Leftarrow k$: universal), (iv) $\Psi_K(\mu_c) := K(\cdot, \mu_c) : X \to \mathcal{H}$ is Hölder continuous, (v) $supp(\rho_X) = X$, (vi) $f_{\rho} \in Im(T^s); \frac{1}{2} < s \leq \frac{3}{2}$, then with high probability

$$\left\|f_{\hat{\mathbf{z}}}^{\lambda} - f_{\rho}\right\|_{\mathcal{H}} \stackrel{\sim}{\sim} \frac{\log^{\frac{h}{2}}(l)}{N^{\frac{h}{2}}\lambda^{2}} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{l\lambda}} + \lambda^{s-\frac{1}{2}}.$$

For suitable (l, N, λ) choices r.h.s $\rightarrow 0$. Specially, for linear $K(\mu_a, \mu_b) = \langle \mu_a, \mu_b \rangle_H$ we get the consistency of the set kernel:

$$K(\mu_{\hat{x}_i}, \mu_{\hat{x}_j}) = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{n,m=1}^N k(x_{i,n}, x_{j,m}).$$

Applications

- Supervised entropy learning: MERR is more precise than the only theoretically justified method [1] (by avoiding density estimation).
- Aerosol prediction based on multispectral satellite images: compares favourably to domain-specific, engineered methods

• Goal: learn the relation between (x, y) given $\hat{\mathbf{z}}$.

• Idea:

 $\mathcal{M}_{1}^{+}\left(\mathfrak{X}\right)\xrightarrow{\mu}X(\subseteq H)\xrightarrow{f\in\mathcal{H}=\mathcal{H}(K)}\mathbb{R},$

i.e., embed the distributions to a $H = H(k) = \operatorname{RKHS}(k)$ on \mathfrak{X} , then $H \to \mathbb{R}$ ridge regression.

• Notations: k is a kernel on \mathfrak{X} , mean embedding

$$\mu_x = \int_{\mathfrak{X}} k(\cdot, u) \mathrm{d}x(u) = \mathbb{E}_{u \sim x}[k(\cdot, u)], \quad X = \mu\left(\mathcal{M}_1^+(\mathfrak{X})\right).$$

$$\rho(\mu_x, y) = \rho(y|\mu_x)\rho_X(\mu_x), \text{ regression function of } \rho, \|\cdot\|_{\rho}, T:$$

(beating state-of-the art MI techniques).

References

- Barnabás Póczos, Alessandro Rinaldo, Aarti Singh, and Larry Wasserman. Distribution-free distribution regression. *AISTATS; JMLR* W&CP, 31:507–515, 2013.
- [2] David Haussler. Convolution kernels on discrete structures. Technical report, Department of Computer Science, University of California at Santa Cruz, 1999.
- [3] Thomas Gärtner, Peter A. Flach, Adam Kowalczyk, and Alexander Smola. Multi-instance kernels. In *ICML*, pages 179–186, 2002.
- [4] Junier B. Oliva, Willie Neiswanger, Barnabás Póczos, Jeff Schneider, and Eric Xing. Fast distribution to real regression. *AISTATS; JMLR* W&CP, 33:706–714, 2014.

SAHD-2014, London, UK. Acknowledgements: This work was supported by the Gatsby Charitable Foundation, and by NSF grants IIS1247658 and IIS1250350.